

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/047,449	01/14/2002	Alois Johannes G. Aarts	05032-00014	1074	
22910 7:	590 05/13/2004		EXAMINER		
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.			MANLOVE, SHALIE A		
28 STATE STE 28th FLOOR	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA	A 02109-9601		1755		
			DATE MAIL ED: 05/12/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					ALL				
		Application No.	Apr	olicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/047,449	AAF	RTS ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art	Unit					
·		Shalie A. Manlove	175						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
2a)∏ Th 3)∏ Si	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4a 5)⊡ CI 6)⊠ CI 7)⊡ CI	aim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawaim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and/o								
Application	Papers								
10)⊠ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Examine e drawing(s) filed on <u>14 January 2002</u> is/are oplicant may not request that any objection to the eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)⊠ accepted or l drawing(s) be held in a tion is required if the d	abeyance. See 37 Crawing(s) is objected	CFR 1.85(a). I to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).				
Priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notice of 3) Informati	References Cited (PTO-892) Thraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Thraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Thraftsperson Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) The Disclosure Statement (s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) The Disclosure Statement (s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Par 5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO- er No(s)/Mail Date ice of Informal Patent / er:	 :	-152)				

Art Unit: 1755

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under 35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claims 2-4 recite percent content. Are the values molar or weight percents?
- 5. Claim 6 provides for the use of shearing forces, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Art Unit: 1755

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reynolds et al US 3,494,731.

Reynolds teaches satin white pigment and process of making wherein aluminum sulfate is reacted with calcium hydroxide by mixing and heating to produce the pigment satin white as to claim 1 (col. 2, lines 64-col. 3, line 6 and col. 4, lines 6-16). As to the apparatus limitation, unless they affect the process in a manipulative sense, it may have little weight in process claims. *In re Tarczy-Hornoch* 158 USPQ 141, 150 (CCPA 1968); *In re Edwards* 128 USPQ 387 (CCPA 1961); Stalego v. Heymes 120 USPQ 473, 478 (CCPA 1959) EX PARTE HART 117 USPQ 193 (POBDPATAPP 1957); *IN RE FREEMAN* 44 USPQ 116 (CCPA 1940); *IN RE SWEENEY* 72 USPQ 501 CCPA 1947). With respect to claim 5, Reynolds teaches adding a dispersant to the pigment (col. 4, lines 50-57).

8. Claims 1, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stiles et al US 3,563,700.

Art Unit: 1755

Stiles teaches a process for making satin white comprising aluminum sulfate and calcium hydroxide (col. 7, lines 60-col. 8, line 5). As to the apparatus limitation, the examiner does not see how the recitation of the apparatus changes the composition in any way and thus little to no patentable weight has been given. As to claim 4 Stiles teaches at least 97.7% solids based on the reaction mixture (col. 7, lines 41-42).

9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murray et al US 3,660,131.

Murray teaches satin white and the process of making by reacting aqueous solutions of calcium hydroxide and aluminum sulfate and stirring with a blender or kneading for an hour to produce satin white as to instant claim 1 (col. 2, lines 8-19). As to the apparatus limitation, the examiner does not see how the recitation of the apparatus changes the composition in any way and thus little to no patentable weight has been given.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shalie A. Manlove whose telephone number is (571) 272-1372. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 5:30-3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark L. Bell can be reached on (571) 272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shalie A. Manlove Examiner Art Unit 1755

May 8, 2004

C. MELISSA KOSLOW PRIMARY EXAMINER